UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA - NEW ALBANY

IN THE MATTER OF: Case #10-93904-BHL-11

EASTERN LIVESTOCK CO., LLC . New Albany, Indiana

June 24, 2011

Debtor . 10:38:54 a.m. O'Clock

TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONIC HEARING RE:

(#317) - Page 4 - CONTINUED HEARING RE: CORRECTED MOTION TO ABANDON, CORRECTED MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY, FILED BY PEOPLES BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF PICKETT COUNTY;

(#489) - Page 7 - MOTION TO FILE CLAIM AFTER CLAIMS BAR DATE FILED BY CREDITOR LYTLE STREET DEVELOPMENT;

(#496) - Page 7 - MOTION TO ASSIGN INTEREST IN LAND SALE CONTRACT TO CHAD SCHUCHMANN FILED BY TRUSTEE JAMES KNAUER;

(#499) - Page 7 - MOTION TO FILE CLAIM AFTER CLAIMS BAR DATE AND EXTEND BAR DATE, FILED BY CREDITOR CULLMAN STOCKYARD, INC.;

(#501) MOTION FOR AUTHORITY, (TRUSTEE'S PURCHASE MONEY CLAIMS REPORT, MOTION TO TRANSFER FUNDS, AND NOTICE OF RELEASE OF PROCEEDS FROM ACCOUNT) FILED BY TRUSTEE JAMES KNAUER; (#512) OBJECTION TO [#501] FILED BY SUPERIOR LIVESTOCK AUCTION, INC.;

(#515) OBJECTION TO [#501] FILED BY JOPLIN REGIONAL STOCKYARDS; (#517) OBJECTION TO [#501] FILED BY CREDITORS NORTHWEST ALABAMA LIVESTOCK YARD, GLEN FRANKLIN, PHILLIP TAYLOR REED, AND

PETITIONING CREDITOR, DAVID L. RINGS;

(#518) OBJECTION TO [#501] FILED BY CREDITOR RON P. REED;

----->

BEFORE THE HONORABLE BASIL H. LORCH, III, J.U.S.B.C.

Electronic Sound Recording Operator: Amy Bruckert

Proceedings Recorded by FTR Gold Digital Sound Recording Transcript Produced by Certified Transcription Service

GCI TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES

210 Bayberry Avenue Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234-5901 1-609-927-0299 FAX 1-609-927-9768 1-800-471-0299

Page 2 Cover #10-93904 6-24-2011

CAPTION - continued

(#519) OBJECTION TO [#501] FILED BY FIRST BANK & TRUST COMPANY; (#520) APPLICATION FOR COMPENSATION AND/OR REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES PURSUANT TO §330 FOR JAMES M. CARR, TRUSTEE'S COUNSEL;- (#525) APPLICATION FOR COMPENSATION AND/OR REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES PURSUANT TO §330 FOR TRUSTEE JAMES A. KNAUER, .; (#536) RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION

- (#536) RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO OBJECTION TO [#501] FILED BY PEOPLES BANK OF COLDWATER, KANSAS;
- (#539) OBJECTION TO [#501] FILED BY BLUE GRASS MAYSVILLE STOCKYARDS, LLC, BLUE GRASS SOUTH LIVESTOCK MARKET, LLC, BLUE GRASS STOCKYARDS OF CAMPBELLSVILLE, LLC, BLUE GRASS STOCKYARDS OF RICHMOND, LLC, BLUE GRASS STOCKYARDS, LLC, EAST TENNESSEE LIVESTOCK CENTER, INC., PIEDMONT LIVESTOCK, INC., AND SOUTHEAST LIVESTOCK EXCHANGE, LLC;
- (#541) SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTION TO [#501] FILED BY SUPERIOR LIVESTOCK AUCTION, INC.;
 - (#542) SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTION TO [#501] FILED BY JOPLIN REGIONAL STOCKYARDS;
 - (#543) OBJECTION TO [#501] FILED BY PROFESSIONAL KATHRYN PRY; (#544) OBJECTION TO [#501] FILED BY THE BANK OF KREMLIN, BRENT KUEHNY;
 - (#545) OBJECTION TO [#501] FILED BY STOCKMAN OKLAHOMA LIVESTOCK MARKETING, INC.;

(#546) OBJECTION TO [#501] FILED BY CRUMPLER BROTHERS; (#547) OBJECTION TO [#501] FILED BY FIRST CPC LIVESTOCK, LLC; ------continued----->

BEFORE THE HONORABLE BASIL H. LORCH, III, J.U.S.B.C.

Electronic Sound Recording Operator: Amy Bruckert

Proceedings Recorded by FTR Gold Digital Sound Recording Transcript Produced by Certified Transcription Service

GCI TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES

210 Bayberry Avenue
Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234-5901
1-609-927-0299 FAX 1-609-927-9768 1-800-471-0299
e-mail - irwingloria@comcast.net

Page 3 Cover #10-93904 6-24-2011

CAPTION - continued

(#548) RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO [#501] FILED BY COFFEYVILLE LIVESTOCK MARKET, LLC;

(#549) SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTION TO [#501] FILED BY GLEN FRANKLIN, NORTHWEST ALABAMA LIVESTOCK YARD, PHILLIP TAYLOR REED, RON P. REED, AND PETITIONING CREDITOR DAVID L. RINGS;

(#552) OBJECTION TO [#501] BY RUSSELL DECORDOVA d/b/a deCORDOVA CATTLE COMPANY;

(#553) OBJECTION TO [#501] FILED BY JOPLIN REGIONAL STOCKYARDS,
PETITIONING CREDITOR SUPERIOR LIVESTOCK AUCTION, INC.;
(#520) - Page 8 - APPLICATION FOR COMPENSATION AND/OR REIMBURSEMENT
OF EXPENSES PURSUANT TO §330 FOR JAMES M. CARR, TRUSTEE'S COUNSEL;
(#554) SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTION TO [#501] BY CREDITOR FIRST BANK AND
TRUST COMPANY, INC.;

(#555) OBJECTION TO [#501] FILED BY CREDITOR REX ELMORE; (#556) OBJECTION TO [#501] FILED BY CREDITOR FLORIDA ASSOCIATION LIVESTOCK MARKETS;

(#564) REPLY TO [#501] BY TRUSTEE JAMES A. KNAUER BEFORE THE HONORABLE BASIL H. LORCH, III, J.U.S.B.C.

APPEARANCES:

<u>For Petitioning Creditors, Moseley Cattle</u> Auction, Moseley Cattle Auction, et al:

For Greenebaum, Doll & McDonald:

JOHN W. AMES, ESQ.

C.R. "CHIP" BOWLES, ESQ. CHRISTIE A. MOORE, ESQ.

Greenebaum, Doll & McDonald, PLLC 101 S. 5th Street #3500 National City Tower

Louisville, KY 40202

-----continued----->

Electronic Sound Recording Operator: Amy Bruckert

Proceedings Recorded by FTR Gold Digital Sound Recording Transcript Produced by Certified Transcription Service

GCI TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES

210 Bayberry Avenue Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234-5901 1-609-927-0299 FAX 1-609-927-9768 1-800-471-0299

Page 4 Cover #10-93904 6-24-2011

APPEARANCES: - continued

Chapter 11 Trustee: JAMES A. KNAUER, ESQ. (Via phone)

Kroger Gardis & Regas, LLP 111 Monument Circle, Suite 900

Indianapolis, IN 46204

For the Chapter 11 Trustee: KEVIN TONER, ESQ.

TERRY HALL, ESQ.

SHAWNA MEYER EIKENBERRY, ESQ.

Baker & Daniels, LLP

300 North Meridian Suite 2700

Indianapolis, IN 46204

For the U.S. Trustee: CHARLES R. WHARTON, AUST

Office of the U.S. Trustee

101 W. Ohio Street Suite 1000

Indianapolis, IN 46204

For First Bank & Trust Company: DANIEL J. DONNELLON, ESQ.

STEPHEN WEIGAND, ESQ. Faruki, Ireland & Cox, PLL 201 East Fifth Street Suite 1420

Cincinnati, OH 45202

BRET S. CLEMENT, ESQ. (Via phone)

Ayers, Carr & Sullivan, P.C. 251 E. Ohio Street Suite 500 Indianapolis, IN 46204

----> continued---->

Electronic Sound Recording Operator: Amy Bruckert

Proceedings Recorded by FTR Gold Digital Recording Transcript Produced by Certified Transcription Service

GCI TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES

210 Bayberry Avenue Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234-5901 1-609-927-0299 FAX 1-609-927-9768 1-800-471-0299

Page 5 Cover #10-93904 6-24-2011

APPEARANCES: (continued)

For Fifth Third Bank: EDWARD M. KING, ESQ.

Frost, Brown & Todd, LLC 400 W. Market Street 3nd Fl.

Louisville, KY 40202

RANDALL D. LaTOUR, ESQ. (Via phone)

Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease, LLP

52 East Gay Street Columbus, OH 43216

For Kathryn Pry RE: Gibson: DEBORAH J. CARUSO, ESQ.

Dale & Eke, P.C.

9100 Keystone Crossing, Suite 400

Indianapolis, IN 46204

For Friona Industries: JOHN FREDERICK MASSOUH, ESQ.

Sprouse Shrader Smith, P.C. (Via phone)

701 S. Taylor Suite 500 Amarillo, TX 79105

For Friona Industries, Cactus Growers, Inc. DANIEL ALBERS, ESQ.

And J&F Oklahoma Holdings: Valenti, Hanley & Robinson

One Riverfront Plaza

401 W. Main Street, Suite 1950

Louisville, KY 40202

For J&F Oklahoma Holdings, Inc. DAVID L. LeBAS, ESQ. (Via phone)

Namen, Howell, Smith & Lee, PLLC

Suite 490

8310 N. Capital of Texas Highway

Austin, TX 78731

----->

Electronic Sound Recording Operator: Amy Bruckert

Proceedings Recorded by FTR Gold Digital Recording Transcript Produced by Certified Transcription Service

GCI TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES

210 Bayberry Avenue Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234-5901 1-609-927-0299 FAX 1-609-927-9768 1-800-471-0299

Page 6 Cover #10-93904 6-24-2011

APPEARANCES: (continued)

For Eddie Eicke: JAMES BRYAN JOHNSON, ESQ. (Via phone)

> Easterwood, Boyd & Simmons, P.C. 623 N. Main Street PO Box 273

Hereford, TX 79045

For Bluegrass Stockyards, LLC, and LAURA DAY DELCOTTO, ESQ. (Via phone)

DelCotto Law Group, PLLC related entities:

200 North Upper Street Lexington, KY 40507

For CPC Livestock: JESSICA E. YATES, ESQ. (Via phone)

Snell & Wilmer, LLP

1200 Seventeenth Street Suite 1900

Denver, CO 80202

For William Downs: ANDREW D. STOSBERG, ESQ.

Lloyd & McDaniel

11405 Park Road, Suite 200 Louisville, KY 40223

For Coffeyville Livestock Market, LLC: WILLIAM E. SMITH, III, ESQ.

> Kightlinger & Gray, LLP Bonterra Building, Suite 200 3620 Blackiston Boulevard New Albany, IN 47150

For Florida Livestock Markets and

W. SCOTT NEWBERN, ESQ. (Via phone)

Creditors:

Tallahassee, FL 32309

2982 E. Giverny

----->

Electronic Sound Recording Operator: Amy Bruckert

> Proceedings Recorded by FTR Gold Digital Recording Transcript Produced by Certified Transcription Service

> > GCI TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES

210 Bayberry Avenue Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234-5901 1-609-927-0299 FAX 1-609-927-9768 1-800-471-0299

Page 7 Cover #10-93904 6-24-2011

APPEARANCES: (continued)

For Superior Livestock Auction and

Joplin Regional Stockyards:

JOHN M. ROGERS, ESQ. ELLIOTT LEVIN, ESQ.

Rubin & Levin, P.C. 500 Marott Center

342 Massachusetts Avenue Indianapolis, IN 46204-2161

J. ODLE (no further information)

For Peoples Bank: ANTHONY RALUY, ESQ.

Foley Bryant Holloway & Raluy

500 West Jefferson Street Suite 2450

Louisville, KY 40202

DAVID LAIRD, ESQ. (Via phone)

1400 16th Street 6th Fl. Denver, CO 80202

For Russell deCordova d/b/a deCordova

Cattle:

JEFFREY J. GRAHAM, ESQ. (Via phone)

Taft, Stettinius & Hollister, LLP One Indiana Square, Suite 3500

Indianapolis, IN 46204

JEFFREY R. ERLER, ESQ. (Via phone)

1500 One McKinney Plaza 3232 Mc Kinney Avenue

Dallas, TX 75204

----->

Electronic Sound Recording Operator: Amy Bruckert

Proceedings Recorded by FTR Gold Digital Recording Transcript Produced by Certified Transcription Service

GCI TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES

210 Bayberry Avenue Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234-5901 1-609-927-0299 FAX 1-609-927-9768 1-800-471-0299

Page 8 Cover #10-93904 6-24-2011

APPEARANCES: (continued)

For Innovative Livestock: MARK A. RONDEAU, ESQ. (Via phone)

Watkins Calcara, Chtd.

1321 Main Street

Great Bend, KS 67530

For Wells Fargo Capital Finance: JEFFREY T. WEGNER, ESQ. (Via phone)

Kutak Rock, LLP The Omaha Building 1650 Farnam Street Omaha, NE 68102

For Cullman Stockyards: THOMAS C. SCHERER, ESQ. (Via phone)

Bingham McHale, LLP 2700 Market Tower 10 West Market Street Indianapolis, IN 46204

For Rex Elmore: KEVIN D. NICOSON, ESQ. (Via phone)

Stuart & Branigin
The Life Building

300 Main Street, Suite 900

Lafayette, IN 47902

Electronic Sound Recording Operator: Amy Bruckert

Proceedings Recorded by FTR Gold Digital Recording Transcript Produced by Certified Transcription Service

GCI TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES

210 Bayberry Avenue Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234-5901 1-609-927-0299 FAX 1-609-927-9768 1-800-471-0299

Page 2

```
1
  (At 10:38:02 a.m.)
 2
             THE COURT: Okay, we're on the record in Eastern
 3 \parallel \text{Livestock.} We have a -- we've taken a list of the attorneys
 4 \parallel that are appearing by phone, so I'm not going to through that
 5 \parallel again. I will just ask for those who are participating by
 6 phone, first of all make sure you keep your phone on mute.
   Don't put us on hold, especially if your phone system plays
   music. And when you do speak, make sure you identify yourself
   for the record.
 9
10
             So let's take the appearances of the attorneys
11 appearing in Court.
12
             MS. HALL: Terry Hall for the Trustee, Jim Knauer,
13 for the debtor.
14
             MR. TONER: Kevin Toner for the Trustee, Jim Knauer.
15
             MS. EIKENBERRY:
                                Shawna Eikenberry for the Trustee,
16 Jim Knauer.
17
             MR. WHARTON: Chuck Wharton for the U.S. Trustee.
                           Debbie Caruso for Kathy Pry, the
18
             MS. CARUSO:
19 Trustee for the Gibson Estate.
20
             MR. LaTOUR: Good morning, Your Honor. Randall
21
   LaTour representing Fifth Third Bank.
22
             MR. KING: Judge, Ted King, counsel for Fifth Third
23 Bank.
24
             MR. DONNELLON: Good morning, Your Honor.
25 Donnellon for First Bank & Trust.
```

MS. MORRIS: Your Honor, Christie Moore for 1 2 | Superior Livestock Auction. 3 MR. BOWLES: Chip Bowles for Superior Livestock Auction, and several other people we've identified on the 5 record in this case. 6 MR. AMES: John Ames for the same clients that 7 (unclear) MR. NEWBERN: Scott Newbern for Florida Livestock 8 Markets and Creditors. 9 10 MR. SMITH: William Smith on behalf Coffeyville 11 Livestock Market, LLC. 12 MR. ROGERS: John Rogers, Your Honor, also on behalf of Superior Livestock Auction and Joplin Regional 14 Stockyards. 15 MR. ALBERS: Daniel Albers, Your Honor, for Friona 16 Industries, Cactus Growers, Inc., and J&F Oklahoma Holdings. 17 MR. STOSBERG: Andrew Stosberg on behalf of William 18 Downs. 19 THE COURT: All right, and I would just ask all of 20 you before you leave today -- you said we have all those? 21 need to make sure we have all those -- okay. All right. We 22 think we've got you all. 23 How do you want to --? I've got a proposed agenda 24 here. Are there some matters that we can dispose of quickly 25 before we get to the major issue?

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

21

Page 4

MS. HALL: I think we could, Your Honor. Under 2 Item -- Roman Numeral II, continued matter of the Peoples Bank 3 and Trust motion for relief from automatic stay: The debtor and Peoples Bank are -- I mean, the Trustee and People's Bank 5 are negotiating over the terms, or the procedures for selling 6 the property and reserving the lien dispute related to the mortgage, the validity of the mortgage for a later date; and we should be filing an agreement to that effect soon.

I've spoken with the attorney whose name I cannot 10 remember at this point --

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Lisa Bryant --

MS. HALL: -- hopefully he's on the phone.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Lisa Bryant?

MR. RALUY: Yes, Your Honor. Anthony Raluy here.

MS. HALL: Anthony Raluy for Lisa Bryant. And he 16 is drafting an agreed entry on that.

MR. KING: Your Honor, Ted King for Fifth Third At the last hearing there was an agreement -- a specific agreement made on the record and approved by the Court that Fifth Third's mortgage was not going to be included in that -- in that agreed order, or agreed entry for relief from stay. We have asked that Peoples Bank as well as the Trustee include a specific statement in that agreed entry that $24 \parallel$ describes that mortgage and indicates that the property is 25 secured, or allegedly secured by that mortgage, is not

1 included in the stay relief order. The Trustee has indicated 2 \parallel that that's fine. We have not yet seen a draft of that -- or $3 \parallel$ a draft of that order incorporated in those provisions; but I presume, since it was agreed to by the parties and ordered by the Court that we will be seeing that.

MS. HALL: Are you -- but you did agree that we could sell the --

MR. KING: As long as it's not our --

MS. HALL: They would be able to sell the property.

MR. KING: As long as it's not our collateral, 11 we've agreed that you can -- you can do -- you can sell it, but it's our understanding -- and this is somewhat confusing because the bank -- Peoples Bank didn't include collateral 14 descriptions in its initial motion for relief from stay. It 15 was a little confusing as to what property was actually being -- relief was actually being granted on. We just want to make it abundantly clear -- and it was agreed to earlier -that the property secured by Fifth Third's mortgage is not being -- there is not stay relief on that property.

MS. HALL OR MS. CARUSO: Is that the house?

MR. KING: Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

13

17

20

21

22

24

MS. CARUSO: Yeah, I think that is clear, because when we worked through the various properties that were included in the motion for relief from the stay, and we have an agreement with Peoples Bank that certain properties will

7

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

24

25

Page 6

```
1 \parallel actually be abandoned from the estate. It was specifically
 2 \parallel discussed that the house, which is subject to your mortgage,
 3\parallel was being abandoned from the estate, but it wasn't going to be
  part of the property you guys were selling.
             MS. HALL: No, I think the -- my -- what we were
 6 talking about with Tony is the -- some bare land that is --
             MR. KING: I don't know if it's a house. I don't
  know if it's bare land. It's property that is encumbered by
   the mortgage that we provided you copies of --
             MS. CARUSO: It would be the house. I believe that
   (unclear) still living in it.
             MR. KING: Yeah, I just don't -- yeah, I don't --
   that I don't know.
             THE COURT: That's fine. Everybody's on the same
15∥ page.
             MR. KING: Yeah, I just want to attach that copy --
             MS. CARUSO? MS. HALL?: We don't know what page that
   is, but we're on the same page.
             THE COURT:
                        Well, you're not -- you're not selling
20 their property.
             MS. CARUSO? MS. HALL?: Right.
             THE COURT: And everybody make sure they don't sell
23 your property.
             MR. KING?: Perfect, Judge. Thank you.
             THE COURT: And if they start to sell your property
```

```
1 you come in here and tell me about it, and I'll tell them not
  to sell your property.
 3
             MR. KING:
                         Thanks, Your Honor.
 4
             THE COURT:
                         All right. Then -- so I'll look for
 5 an agreed entry and an order, proposed order.
 6
                         Okay. Roman Numeral III, Your Honor, on
             MS. HALL:
 7
   the agenda is Item #2, Lytle Street Development. That motion
   has actually been withdrawn, so I think it should just be
 8
   removed from the agenda.
10
             THE COURT:
                        All right.
             MS. HALL: Item #3 under Roman Numeral III, Motion
12 to Assign Interest: This is the estate moving to assign an
13 interest in the land contract for which there was no value to
14 the estate, to the other party -- partner in the land
15 contract, who has agreed to take over that debt for the
   estate. No objections were filed, and we ask that that motion
17
   be granted.
18
             THE COURT: I show the motion's granted.
19
             MS. HALL: Item #3 (sic) is a motion to extend a
20 bar date and authorize --
21
             THE COURT:
                        4.
22
             MS. HALL: -- the filing of -- 4 -- authorize the
23
   filing of a proof of claim by Cullman Stockyard. Nobody filed
   an objection to that, and we ask that the motion be granted.
```

25

#10-93904 6-24-2011

THE COURT: Show the motion's granted.

9

10

13 **I**

14

17

20

21

2.2

MS. HALL: Item #5 is the primary matter that we've $2 \parallel \text{got}$ on today, and I believe that possibly the remaining items, 3 which are the fee applications may be part of that, even 4 though no objections were filed, but part of that discussion 5 that the parties here would like to talk about. And then 6 we've got the two adversary proceedings, one of which is a status conference and one of which is a pre-trial conference. So at this point we'll -- we can go with how the Court would like to proceed.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's get into the -- the 11 motion that drew all the objections. I mean, I understand 12 what the Trustee is trying to accomplish here. I'm not sure we can accomplish it. I mean, people have raised --

Let me see if I can summarize this without getting 15 real specific because I certainly can't remember everything that was in every objection; but basically the Trustee has said, "We don't think anybody other than the bank -- any of these sellers -- have a lien on the proceeds. We don't think 19 they do by federal law, and we don't think they do by state And so we want to transfer that money to our operating account."

And then a host of parties have disagreed, some of 23 whom have said, "Well, yes, by this law in Kansas, or this law 24 in Oklahoma, we do have such a lien." Some of whom have said, 25 You can't do this this way." You know, the -- "It's not in

 $1 \parallel$ compliance with maybe previous court orders, or the bankruptcy It may need to be an adversary to determine the 3 extent and priority of liens." Is that -- is that overview of where we are? 4 5 MS. HALL: I think that's a fairly good summary, 6 Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: All right. So how do we get out of 8 this? 9 MS. HALL: Well --10 THE COURT: Do we have to have -- I mean, obviously state law is relevant. I mean, if a trans -- or unless you're 11 going to argue that it's not, it's going to be different laws in different states as to whether or not -- it would be just 14 like the Indiana Garagemen's Law, or a mechanic's lien law, or 15 whatever might give rise to a lien; whereas, in Kentucky, you 16 might be outside the statute, or vice-versa. I mean, these 17 kind of state laws that create liens are certainly unique and different. Don't we have to assess these claims in light of 18 their individual characteristics? 20 MS. HALL: Well, Your Honor, we at -- we attempted 21 to do that with the cattle payments motion that we started 22 with in the beginning --23 THE COURT: Right. 24 MS. HALL: -- where we said, "We'd like-- we know

#10-93904 6-24-2011

25 \parallel that people believe they owes us -- they owe the estate money.

5

11

14

16

18

22

23

1 They're concerned about paying the estate money because 2 they've got competing claims." "If you pay us, we'll indemnify $3 \parallel \text{you}$, and we will resolve those claims within the bankruptcy case."

Then we asked people to file That worked okay. $6\parallel$ claims against those funds so that we could try to identify who is claiming a lien in what funds, or who is claiming an interest in what funds. That didn't work so well because we got all kinds of claims that were non-specific, some specific; 10 some just said, "You owe me money."

And so then it became, how do we -- how do we make it so that we have funds that are usable by the Trustee to run the case, and to ultimately determine that these funds are paid over to the senior secured lender, if they become excess 15 cash collateral?

When it became obvious that we were not going to be able to -- or this was not a forum that we could object to all 505 claims and try to get it resolved, because we couldn't 19 tell which claim was which, we said, "Well, these are monies 20 that have been paid in. If you have a claim to any of these proceeds, please file a pleading and tell us what claim you have to these particular pleadings."

Some of the parties -- and we've discussed this 24 \parallel prior to the opening of the hearing today -- are concerned 25 that our --

2

8

9

11

12

14

15

17

19

20

21

24

Joining the meeting. TELEPHONE OPERATOR:

MS. HALL: -- that some of our language in the $3 \parallel$ notice and in the pleadings was not quite specific enough, and that we said, "If you had a secured claim," which meant that 5 -- and some people interpreted that to mean that if you thought -- if you didn't have a secured claim, if you just thought it wasn't property of the estate but you didn't necessarily need to raise that argument or make an objection.

I'm not sure that I agree with that. If you have a 10 claim to any of the proceeds then I think you should have raised it in an objection.

So what we were trying to do is to say, "Of all this money that we've taken in, here's an opportunity for you to claim part of it. If you claim part of it and we don't resolve it, we'll take that dispute and put it over here under a scheduling order, and we'll resolve that dispute."

The monies that -- what we attempted to do this morning was to identify those payments where the debtor had actually paid the underlying producer. So there wasn't an unpaid producer out there, and the debtor paid the producer in good funds -- not an NSF check -- and the producer paid the debtor; and so in that particular situation, the 1.6 million dollars we put on the pleading that we filed this morning, those funds belonged to the estate without a competing claim; 25 \parallel and the only lien was the blanket lien established by the

1 Court in the financing order owned by Fifth Third.

So in our response last night we tried to take into consideration the concerns that were raised in the objection and if -- as you said, "How do we get out of this?"

> THE COURT: Right.

2

3

5

6

11

13

17

20

21

23

25

MS. HALL: Try to put it in bites. Some people felt that they could not figure out if they had a claim because they didn't know who the underlying cattle producer was that was the subject of the cattle, from who we were 10 \parallel getting the payments, so we said that we would file by July -we would provide by July the 8th to the objecting parties the source of the cattle so that they could determine if they had a claim; people who made a specific objection to specific proceeds -- we'd set those aside and treat those as a full contested matter with a scheduling order or whatever, and try to resolve that in August.

And in the meantime we'd like to propose that we 18 won't -- we won't transfer any of the funds, except for this 1.6-- and I think we're -- we probably have agreed that people are going to need a certain period of time to verify that 1.6 and those particular payments -- to move those over and not move the rest of it over until it's actually resolved.

We've discussed briefly but I don't think we've 24 reached a consensus.

THE COURT: All right, well, let's -- let's slow

 $1 \parallel$ down a little bit. So your proposal would be to -- are you $2 \parallel$ going to -- are you going to -- how are you going to deal with 3 the objections to the adequacy of the notice issue? Or you're going to take the position that the notice was adequate and 5 all -- everybody who -- all the players are here ready to $6\parallel$ assert their position? Or do you think something had -- we have to go back that far?

MS. HALL: I think it's at least the Trustee's position, Your Honor, that the notice was specific enough that 10 \parallel said, "Here are 88 payments that the debtor -- that the Trustee has received. If you have a claim to these funds, 12 you need to object, because we're going to move them." And the intent for moving them is to use them, or to send them to Fifth Third. We're not going to send them to Fifth Third unless it goes through the financing order," but that was the 16 intent.

THE COURT: All right.

7

8

11

17

18

19

21

MS. HALL: So it went to all creditors.

THE COURT: Okay. So let's -- let's start with 20 that proposition, that if people have been alerted, notice has been given to come forward and to state a claim, you think you have identified, based upon your pleading of -- your recent 23 pleading, 1.6 million dollars in funds that you have on hand 24 that the supplier was paid for; and so there's no competing 25 claim to Fifth Third's -- the only lien on those proceeds

Page 14

```
1 would be that of Fifth Third.
 2
             MS. HALL: That is what -- that's our position,
 3 Your Honor.
             THE COURT: That -- you think you've identified
 4
 5 that 1.6. And some of the parties want additional time to
 6 make themselves comfortable that that 1.6 is not subject to
 7
   any claim that they might have.
 8
             MS. HALL: That's correct, Your Honor.
 9
             THE COURT:
                        And how did you say that you might need
10 -- that you might need to do that?
             MS. HALL: We were considering fourteen days.
11
12
             THE COURT: All right. Does anybody object to
   that? (No response) All right, let's do that.
13
14
             MR. DONNELLON: Your Honor --
15
             THE COURT: Go ahead.
16
             MR. DONNELLON: The only issue on the fourteen
   days, that two weeks from today I'm going to be out of town,
17
   and I've made that clear to Ms. Hall. We've --
18
19
             THE COURT:
                        Well, what do you want?
20
             MR. DONNELLON: -- said sixteen days or seventeen
21
   days would be fine.
2.2
             THE COURT: Sixteen it is. What -- did you have an
23 objection to that?
24
             MR. NEWBERN: Yes, Your Honor. Scott Newbern for
25 Florida Markets. I'm just unclear as to what the fourteen
```

1 days is for.

6 the 1.6 --

2

5

7

8

9

16

18

19

20

THE COURT: Well, for anybody -- I mean -- for 3 anybody to contest the fact or to file some additional pleadings saying "We don't agree that this 1.6 is the result." So just -- it's just with regard to MR. NEWBERN:

THE COURT: Just the 1.6. Just the 1.6. A11 right.

Step Two: As to the additional monies that you 10 \parallel have on file that parties have asserted claims to, what -- I 11 notice -- you were talking about at some point moving --12 moving those out into separate contested matters with -- and then entering scheduling orders and allowing for discovery and those kind of things we would do in a contested matter. 15 tell me how that process would begin.

MS. HALL: Well, I think there is kind of a two steps to it. One is, some people said, "I may have a claim, but I don't know."

THE COURT: Right.

MS. HALL: So we would provide by July the 8th I think is what we are proposing, that DSI would construct on that Exhibit A, where it says, "Here's who paid us, and here's 23 how much they paid us." We would put the other side in that 24 says, "And here's where the cattle came from," so that the parties who said, "I may have a claim, but I'm not sure,"

Page 16

```
1 could identify that; and then by August 1st --
 2
             THE COURT: Now wait a minute. Slow down. So I'm
 3 looking at -- you're talking about Exhibit A to your most
   recent motion?
 5
             MS. HALL: The Exhibit A to the -- the --
 6
             THE COURT: Your reply that you filed?
 7
             MS. HALL: No.
 8
             UNIDENTIFIED MALE: (unclear) declaration.
             MS. HALL: The Exhibit A -- no --
 9
             THE COURT: Which Exhibit A?
10
             MS. HALL: The Exhibit A to the purchase money
11
   claims report. It was the Docket #501.
             THE COURT: Okay. Exhibit A to your original
13
14 motion.
15
             MS. HALL: To the original motion.
16
             THE COURT:
                        Okay.
17
             MS. HALL: Because people said, "I'm looking here,
18 but I don't know who you sold the cattle to. I mean, I know
19 who you sold the cattle to, but I don't know who you took the
20 cattle from."
21
             THE COURT: All right, wait a minute. Let me get
22 to Exhibit A.
23
             MS. HALL: So these were the 88 payments --
24
             THE COURT: Right.
25
             MS. HALL: -- that we received.
```

Page 17

```
1
             THE COURT:
                        Okay.
 2
             MS. HALL: People want the source of those cattle--
 3
             THE COURT:
                        Okay.
             MS. HALL: -- because they may be the source of
 4
   those cattle, but they don't now.
 5
 6
             CONFERENCE CALL OPERATOR: Joining the meeting.
 7
             THE COURT: All right.
 8
             TELEPHONE VOICE: This is South WATS (unclear)
 9
   Center.
10
             THE COURT:
                        Okay.
11
             MS. HALL:
                         So --
12
             CONFERENCE CALL OPERATOR: Joining the meeting.
             MR. ?? (on telephone): This is (unclear) Yes, sir.
13
14
             THE COURT:
                        Okay. All right. Go ahead.
15
             MS. HALL: So --
16
             THE COURT: So you're going -- by July the 8th
   you're going to amend Exhibit A, and you'll add an additional
18
   column, and in that additional column you will identify the
   source of those cattle -- who sold you the cattle.
19
20
             MS. HALL:
                       Yes.
21
             THE COURT: Is that right?
22
             MS. HALL: Yes, Your Honor.
23
             THE COURT:
                        All right, and then parties -- and
24 you'll file that as a pleading in this Court. And then
   parties will have --
```

```
MS. HALL: Until August 1st.
 1
 2
             THE COURT:
                         -- until August 1st to --
 3
             CONFERENCE CALL OPERATOR: Joining the meeting.
             THE COURT: -- to -- to do what? To assert?
 4
 5
             MS. HALL: To assert a claim.
 6
             THE COURT: All right. And then at that point we
   will -- what we'll get from parties is a statement that, you
  know, "I was -- my client was the supplier of cattle in
   transaction #78 involving Nutritional Advances, Inc," -- or
10 whatever. "And by virtue of Oklahoma Statute," or, "Kansas
   statute," or whatever -- " -- we have a security interest in
11
12 those specific funds."
13
             MS. HALL: Yes.
14
             THE COURT: And once you receive such -- all right,
15 what about the ones that you don't receive any -- any claim
16 to?
17
             MS. HALL: Then I would --
18
             THE COURT: Those would be -- you would propose to
19 move those then to the operating account.
20
             MS. HALL:
                       Yes.
21
             THE COURT: Yeah. And then the ones that you do
22 have claims to, you would propose to set up a contested matter
23 for each of those. Or can you lump them? I quess if one
24 party is asserting that they sold cattle in several of these
25 things, you could lump those.
```

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
MS. HALL: Mr. -- Mr. Plourde, who represents --
 2 Ross Plourde represents I think seven or eight people, and we
 3 \parallel spoke yesterday, and he actually had an issue that may not
   even be in one of these 88, and he said, "Can we just do them
   all at once?"
             THE COURT:
                        Yes.
             MS. HALL: It's the same issue on all of them.
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
             MS. HALL:
                         And --
             MR. PLOURDE (very loud on microphone for telephone
             Yes, Your Honor. Ross Plourde, and in the
11 pick-up):
12 customer in that case is Brent Kuehny, and the end purchaser,
13 Mark Hollenburger, (phonetic) has not yet paid for that -- for
14\parallel those cattle; and, but we don't see any reason to sidetrack
15 the process just because he hasn't paid.
                                             We can still
   continue to encourage him to pay the money over while we
   determine whose money it is.
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
             MS. HALL: Because he's going to raise the same
   issue for all of his clients, even though one of his clients
   is not in these 88 payments.
             THE COURT:
                        All right.
             MS. HALL: So we just agreed -- it's the same thing
   (unclear)
             THE COURT: Well -- and I don't have any problem
```

```
1 with -- if both sides --if both counsel agree that their
 2 matters can be consolidated in any manner, I don't -- I don't
 3 \parallel care. But if anybody wants their -- thinks theirs is distinct
 4 and separate and they happen to sell cattle from an estate
  that nobody else did, for example, or whatever, that's fine.
   I'm going to leave that initially to your discretion to
   determine how to best lump these.
 7
 8
             MS. HALL:
                         And --
 9
             THE COURT:
                         Or not to lump.
10
             MS. HALL:
                        Lump or not.
             UNIDENTIFIED MALE: To herd.
11
12
             MS. HALL: To herd.
13
             THE COURT:
                        To herd these, yeah.
14
             MS. HALL: For those parties who have already
15
   raised a specific dispute to specific proceeds --
16
             THE COURT:
                         Right.
17
             MS. HALL: -- we -- we don't want them to be
   delayed further.
18
19
             THE COURT:
                        All right.
20
             MS. HALL:
                         So what we were going to ask, and that's
21
   what I think Mr. Newbern's concern is, that he doesn't want to
   be delayed longer by waiting until August 1st --
23
             THE COURT:
                         Right.
24
             MS. HALL: -- so that we would set up a scheduling
25 order with him --
```

2

5

6

7

8

9

11

14

17

19

21

23

24

25

You can set up a scheduling order THE COURT: immediately on those that have already accomplished the first, $3 \parallel$ or passed the first stage of this process. That sounds reasonable. MS. HALL: And then the other -- the other remaining issue, I believe, that Mr. Rogers and -- I'm sorry. Excuse me. (Pause, low-voiced conversation) MS. HALL: Mr. O'Malley from DSI tells me that in 10 some of these transactions we may not be able to identify the specific producer that the cattle came from. But we'll do our best. If we can't -- I don't -- if you think you have a claim to it -- I see that you raise it anyway. The last issue as Mr. Rogers and some of the other 15 parties in the case -- Mr. Donnellon -- that it will not just 16∥ be -- if you have a claim, it's not just through a lien or a constructive trust, but you can also raise that these monies are not property of the estate. Is that --MR. ROGERS: That's certainly part of our claim, 20 too. THE COURT: Right. But part of your claim; but that was his concern, that our notice was not specific enough. THE COURT: That's fine, and I'll -- we'll -- we'll incl --MS. HALL: He can raise any kind of claim, right?

THE COURT: We'll include that as --

1

2

3

4

5

9

11

17

18

20

21

22

24

MR. ROGERS: Well, any claim or interest or --

MS. HALL: Any claim or interest that you have to these proceeds.

Any claim or interest that you have as THE COURT: 6 to these -- these monies, including the fact that the estate has no business having them, that's fine. But not just limited to the validity of the liens.

MR. DONNELLON: I don't know if I'm out of order on 10 \parallel this, but I just think that there's one important part. I understand Mr. O'Malley is saying that in some instances of the 88 producers can't be identified, which is understandable; but instead of just adding one column for the producer, it 14 would be helpful, from what my industry folks tell me, to add 15 as much information as DSI has. The number of head, whether 16 they're steers or heifers, the weights of those cattle, and the location where the producer took them from.

If you don't have -- if DSI doesn't have the information, that's certainly understandable, but to the extent they have it, if that could be added in, it will shortcut the process.

THE COURT: All right, add an additional column on 23 those you don't have the producer, and put "Information Available To The Debtor, " "Known Information," or whatever, 25 \parallel that help -- that it may help the -- another party identify

1 them.

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

21

24

MR. DONNELLON: And even where there are producers, some producers will have three different locations of pastures. Some will have four weight, some will have six-5 weights, some will have heifers, some will have steers. there's -- whatever information is available we're going to shortcut the process and we get involved at one time rather than going back.

> THE COURT: Is that doable?

Yes, Your Honor. MS. HALL:

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yes, Your Honor.

That's doable? All right. THE COURT:

MR. DONNELLON: Thank you.

MR. NEWBERN: Your Honor, on the question of 15 \parallel information with respect to helping resolve of these issues, the Packers' & Stockyards' Administration, which operates under federal law, has registration for Eastern's operation, how they operate, what they operated in various capacities 19 that would be helpful to DSI, and it would be helpful to all parties here; but we have not been able to get access to that. Mr. Bowles suggested a 2004 order, but I'd like Your Honor to be aware that that would be helpful for information purposes for the parties present, and also for those on the phone.

THE COURT: So how would we accomplish that then? 25 You say --

```
MR. NEWBERN: I'll -- I quess I can file an order
 1
 2
   -- a specific discovery order motion --
 3
             UNIDENTIFIED MALE: We'll help -- (unclear)
             MR. NEWBERN: (unclear) with the proceeds.
 4
             THE COURT: That'll be fine.
 5
 6
             MR. NEWBERN:
                            Thank you, Judge.
 7
             THE COURT: All right, so what is the time table
  then for the parties who do not -- have already identified
   their cattle? And I remind those that are on the phone to
10 please put your phone on mute unless you need to address the
11 Court.
12
             MS. HALL: I don't think we've come up with a
   specific time table, but I know that some parties would like
14 to move quickly, so I know Mr. Newbern's clients would.
15
             MR. NEWBERN: Certainly my clients are anxious to
16 move ahead.
17
             MS. HALL: We would -- we could probably either
18 develop --
19
             THE COURT: Why don't the parties just --
20
                         -- a scheduling order --
             MS. HALL:
21
             THE COURT: -- work out a scheduling order --
             MS. NEWBERN: That would be fine --
2.2
23
                        -- just communicate directly. I'm sure
             THE COURT:
24\parallel you'll be able to work out a reasonable scheduling order.
25
             MR. BOWLES: And just to remind, it's part of the
```

4

5

6

7

11

21

22

24

25

1 Trustee's motion (unclear) the Superior issues, (unclear) taking care of Superior, and we'll talk about that separately (unclear) Superior entities. THE COURT: Yes. Superior is still separate. MS. HALL: Yes. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. Okay, does that -does that resolve -- or not resolve, but determine how we're going to try to resolve the issues raised by this motion then? Does anyone have any additional questions or comments? Mr. 10 LaTour? MR. LaTOUR: Thank you, Your Honor. The only concern I have is that some of the issues that are being 13 raised are more over-arching issues that may require a 14 contested matter to morph into an adversary proceeding of some 15 type. I simply wanted to alert the Court to that possibility and to reserve the right to ask that that be changed, because |17| some of the factual determinations may not be possible to be 18 made within a very short time frame. We don't know until we 19 try, but once we try if it becomes apparent that it's going to take an adversary, I wanted to alert you to the possibility that that could happen. THE COURT: That's fine. We'll keep open that 23 possibility. Someone was going to address the Court by phone?

#10-93904 6-24-2011

MR. PLOURDE: Thank you, Your Honor. Ross Plourde.

7

8

9

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

#10-93904

6-24-2011

I anticipate that there will be an order entered authorizing 2 the Trustee at some point to transfer some or all of that 1.6 3 million dollars over to the Trustee's general operating $4 \parallel$ account; and I would request that the order provide, just as a 5 safeguard, that the Trustee is directed not to transfer any 6 funds that are claimed by any party as proceeds of their cattle in this contested matter.

THE COURT: Yes. For those -- it's only after everyone has -- I mean, if somebody comes forward, we're 10 giving sixteen days for somebody to come forward and say they disagree that these -- this 1.6 is -- has no claims against it, that's -- only then will it be transferred. So we could put some language in the order that says, "These are funds that no party has made a claim to."

MR. PLOURDE: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you, and I only raise that because we're just going on the Trustee's representations to get to that point, so --

> THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HALL: And if someone -- Your Honor, if someone does raise an objection to a specific -- and can either specify exactly the point of why, you know, you're concerned about the 1.6 and which payment it is, if they do raise that, would we just address that at the July omnibus hearing? THE COURT: Yeah, we can address it at the July

25 omnibus hearing, and I would say you'd transfer it over the --

7

8

9

10

11

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
1 \parallel if it's just a portion of that, just leave that portion where
 2 \parallel it is and transfer over the balance. So you can write the
 3 \parallel order in a way that allows you to transfer over up to 1.6,
   assuming there's no issue -- and we'll try to resolve quickly
   any dispute about any portion of that 1.6.
             Okay, let's move --
             MR. LAIRD: Your Honor, if I -- this is David Laird
   on behalf of People's Bank out of Coldwater, Kansas.
             THE COURT:
                          Yes.
             MR. LAIRD: My client has a security interest in
  funds that appear not to be on the Exhibit A, but instead
12 they're part of the interpleader action which has been
13∥ transferred to this Court recently; and based on the way the
   order -- or, I'm sorry, the motion -- the notices were
15 written, we filed a sort of a peremptory objection (unclear)
16 being that we didn't want an order to be written in such a
   manner that any funds that may be subject to an interpleader
   action when -- if that interpleader action was over with,
   those funds would somehow be sort of drafted into --
             THE COURT:
                          No --
             MR. LAIRD:
                        (unclear)
             THE COURT:
                         Well --
             MR. LAIRD: -- property --
             THE COURT: I und -- I understand --
```

#10-93904 6-24-2011

MR. LAIRD: -- of the estate, or subject to the --

1 subject to the bank's security interest.

2

6

7

10

13

15

16

17

21

24

25

THE COURT: Right. I understand you're concerned $3 \parallel$ about that, and I'll -- and they can include language in the order that makes it clear that we're not talking about any of 5 the interpleader funds.

MR. NEWBERN: Your Honor, my clients also have a specific claim to funds that have been interpled in the Wisconsin matter. I didn't hear the identification of the party that was talking, and that may have been part of it.

THE COURT: Where -- what interpleader action were 11 you involved in? The attorney who spoke on the phone? And please identify yourself again.

MR. LAIRD: That's the Colorado interpleader 14 action.

> THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. LaTour.

MR. LaTOUR: Your Honor, I wanted to just make sure that everybody's on the same page with respect to 1.6 million, because there is a little bit of an ambiguity in the finance order, and I thought rather than have us come back for another 20 round of trying to figure out, I would just put it out there.

Paragraph 2 of the finance order indicates that cash collateral can be used by the Trustee within the confines of the budget, and just to state it plainly, to pay professionals, okay -- and other costs in the case.

Paragraph -- (pause) -- I should have had it

```
1 marked. It's the paragraph referring to transfer.
   somebody can help me out --
 3
             MS. HALL?:
                          Paragraph 6?
             MR. LaTOUR:
                          Is that 6? (Pause) Paragraph 6 says,
 4
             "Prior to the transfer of any excess cash
 5
 6
             collateral, defined term, to Fifth Third,"
 7
   -- an event that I look forward to with great anticipation --
 8
             " -- or the Trustee's use of Fifth Third cash
             collateral the Trustee will file with the Court a
 9
10
             notice."
   I -- I do not understand why that phrasing on that second part
11
   is there. I think paragraph 2's intention all along was that
   they could operate within the confines of the budget; and I
   wanted, while everybody was here today to put on the table --
15 and I don't like the results because it's burning up my
16 client's money -- the fact that when the 1.6 or whatever
17
   portion of it ends up in the operating account, that the
18 Trustee will be free to use it without a further notice and a
19 further round of objections with respect to the 1.6 only, and
20 not any of the other monies, not any of the interpleader type
21
   stuff, et cetera, so --
             THE COURT: That would be the Court's
22
23 understanding.
24
             MS. HALL: With the exception of disbursements to
25 Fifth Third Bank.
```

```
MR. LaTOUR: Yes, a --
 1
 2
             THE COURT:
                        If there's excess.
 3
             MS. HALL: Excess.
             MR. LaTOUR:
                          [reading]
 4
 5
             "That disbursement to Fifth Third Bank would be
             excess cash collateral,"
 6
    -- and that would trigger the notice requirement at the end
 7
 8
   of paragraph 6.
 9
             THE COURT: That's exactly the Court's
10 understanding. All right, let's move on then. That gets us
11 to the fee application.
12
             MS. HALL: It does, Your Honor. There are Items
   6, 7, and 8 are fee applications of the Trustee's
14 professionals. No objections were filed, and we'd ask that
15 those fees be approved. And these are subject to the 80/20
16 withholding and interim fee apps.
17
             THE COURT:
                        Those will be approved.
             MR. WHARTON: Your Honor -- ?
18
19
             THE COURT:
                        Yes.
20
             MR. WHARTON: The U.S. Trustee's Office has
   reviewed the fee applications, all three, and I'll raise some
   informal smaller concerns, but nothing that warranted
   objections. I did wish to ask both the Trustee and his
24 professionals if they could make a better effort to update
25 that web page a little bit, get a little more information out
```

1 to the parties that can access it that way. I think it would 2 be helpful for those who have not yet formed a Committee of 3 Unsecured Creditors because it's, at least in large part, some 4 of the claimants aren't certain if they are creditors --5 unsecured or had claims. And so that would, I think, be 6 helpful for smaller creditors out there to get an update on the blog -- those types of things. And I think that would be quite helpful.

THE COURT: Can we do that?

8

9

10

11

12

15

17

21

23

24

MS. HALL: We will do that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MS. HALL: The other two items, Your Honor, are the adversary proceedings, the status conference, and a pre-trial conference, and my colleague would cover those.

ATTORNEY: Your Honor, the -- are we going to 16 (unclear)

THE COURT: Yes, well, hold on one -- any -- we're 18 going to now go into a discussion of the two adversary 19 proceedings, one involving Superior, and one that's entitled Innovative Livestock vs. Eastern. All those that are interested in these matters are welcome to stay on and monitor 22 this discussion. Anyone who wants to get off now is free to do so.

MR. MASSOUGH: Your Honor, this is John Massough 25 (unclear, beeping conference exit noise)

1

2

3

10

11

17

19

20

Wait one moment, please. Okay.

MR. MASSOUGH: Your Honor, John Massough on behalf of Fiona Industries. I think one -- one item that was possibly left off the agenda was a matter involving the Friona 5 adversary proceeding and Texas interpleader that is not set for a status conference until -- until next month, but I had filed a motion to -- for the Clerk to accept the interpled funds and in that case there has been no objection to that motion. I just wanted to (unclear) that with the Court.

THE COURT: I'll grant that motion. The -- I've been informed by the Clerk's Office -- our Clerk's Office, they now have the proper kind of account set up that I think qualifies them to accept those funds. So I'll be happy to sign an order granting that motion. Whether or not all the Clerks in the -- who have had their fingers on this think everything is in place to accomplish that, I can't promise you that. I can only do what a Judge can do, and sign orders, and then it's -- goes to the higher power, the Clerks.

So, yes, I think we're ready to receive those funds.

MR. MASSOUGH: I appreciate that, Judge. I think the one hiccup that we had conditioned to the proper account being set up is the District Judge in Amarillo for the Northern District of Texas entered an order because she was concerned that she could not transfer funds from a District Court to a Bankruptcy Court, and wanted to transfer the funds

1 from District Court to District Court -- it should be the 2 District Court in New Albany and then somehow get those funds 3 over to the Bankruptcy Court, and quite frankly (unclear) on exactly how to do all that.

THE COURT: Is that still her position or do you 6 know?

> MR. MASSOUGH: I'm sorry?

5

7

8

9

10

13

17

18

21

24

THE COURT: Is that -- is that still her position, or do you know?

MR. MASSOUGH: That is still her position. 11 give her an update with regard to the account. I know she had a concern about the type of account that was necessary, that it wasn't set up yet. I'll give her an update as to the 14 fact that the proper accounts are not -- are now ready to 15 receive the funds, but I still think she still has a concern 16 regarding whether or not a District Court can transfer funds to the Bankruptcy Court.

Well, now I can't -- you know, I can't THE COURT: 19 pretend to know whether they can or not, but I know that the District Court here would probably not be real anxious to get in the middle of this money transfer; but if that's the way it 22 has to be done, then I'm sure they'll figure out a way to do 23 it.

But I'll sign your -- I'll review your order. 25 mean, don't -- don't submit me an order that orders the

1 District Court Judge to transfer to the Bankruptcy Court. 2 (Laughter) 3 THE COURT: Because I -- you know -- there's no $4 \parallel$ reason for me to get crossways with her, and I don't really 5 care--6 MR. MASSOUGH: (unclear) I think what's going to 7 happen -- what's going to probably have to happen is she's going to transfer it to District Court in New Albany, and we have to figure out a way to get it from there --10 THE COURT: All right. MR. MASSOUGH: -- (unclear) Court. 11 12 THE COURT: All right, well, maybe they'll run it downstairs and give it to us. But we'll figure that out. All 14 right. Thank you. 15 MR. DONNELLON: Your Honor, while we're on the 16 issue of the Friona, that interpleader -- if I may interject |17| -- there is an issue with respect to the summonses on the 18 three interpleader claims. I'd agree to accept service of 19∥process on behalf of First Bank & Trust; but it was unclear to 20 me whether there is a specific date, because we have not 21 received a certified mail service, which I'm not essentially requiring, but so that we have it within a determination of what the parties' answer dates are going to be. It was my $24\parallel$ understanding that our answer date was somewhere in the next

#10-93904 6-24-2011

25 two weeks, but I want to make sure that while we're on the

1 record of that subject that we address that.

2

6

7

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

There was a motion to issue the summonses, and it 3 seems to be unclear as to actually what the procedural process will be for all of the defendants in the Friona and the J&F 5 Oklahoma proceeding to have set forth their answer date.

MR. LeBAS: This is David LeBas for J&M Oklahoma, and I can speak as to a couple of the inquiries from the vendors in this proceeding. (unclear, low level volume on telephone transmission). They've asked for, yesterday, a 10 proposal, so we (unclear) pull out the calendar and figure it We've all tentatively agreed on July 1 to intervene, but to the extent that (unclear) debt service on other parties, they have (continues talking while other speaking going on)

> THE COURT: Is that fine with you?

MR. DONNELLON: July 1 is fine for First Bank. just wanted to make sure that we have a clear determination and understanding from everyone what the date would be.

THE COURT: All right. We'll work with July 1. mean, that's -- you know, in this current state of confusion, you know, it's not likely that Court's going to default anybody if they're not on the right page as to that answer date; but I do want to keep it moving, so I'm happy to endorse that. Are we ready to move from Friona then, or --

All right, let's move into the Superior adversary.

1 Mr. Ames.

2

10

11

13

16

17

20

21

22

23

MR. AMES: Yes, Your Honor. If I may briefly $3 \parallel$ address the status. We filed a complaint on behalf of Superior on April 12th, and we -- the parties had agreed to a 5 thirty-day extension. The answer, a rather substantial $6\parallel$ answer, 69-page, was received or filed on June 20th -- just on Monday. So we are in the process of evaluating and assessing it to see which -- how procedurally it will go forward; and frankly, today we're not prepared to discuss any substantive issues.

We do anticipate there being, as part of the -- of our responsive pleadings, some form of motion for partial summary judgment which, if the Court does grant, it will eliminate some of the issues that are part of that agreement, 15 or the -- of the answer.

What I would like to do, Your Honor, is suggest that perhaps we take a couple of weeks and continue the status hearing to give us an opportunity to take a look at it and see which direction we're going to be going, because it certainly might eliminate a lot of the issues that are going to be in that very substantial pleading, and --

> THE COURT: All right.

MR. AMES: And it basically follows the same 24∥ direction we've been going from Day One and asking the Court 25 to determine Superior's status as a forward contract holder,

 $1 \parallel$ swaps, derivatives, and basically the property in this case. $2 \parallel So \text{ it's not going to be anything magical or different.}$ $3 \parallel$ will just be the procedural device that will be utilized to try to bring that forward (unclear).

THE COURT: All right. Should we move this to the 6 next omnibus date then?

5

7

8

11

14

17

18

20

21

24

MR. TONER?: I think that's -- makes abundance sense. And what I would say is that in that pleading you'll find counterclaims that talk about our Texas interpleader, and 10 \parallel how legal issues overlap. We agree that there are some summary judgment type motions that present themselves, one of which is the forward contract arguments that Your Honor is 13 familiar with.

Another is does the debtor have the property for 15 transactions that took place, deliveries of cattle prior to this assignment of Superior? And the other is, are there legal arguments in allowing you to check over Fifth Third's lien? All three of those I think are going to end up being 19 presented in sort of a summary judgment fashion.

Last month Your Honor directed the folks in the Friona Texas interpleader to get together in an attorneys' 22 \parallel conference on the 13th of July. I think that's the perfect opportunity to have us continue kicking around what can be packaged in terms of legal issues, and then come back to you 25 at the omnibus with our recommendation.

```
1
             THE COURT: So you'll conference this at the
 2
   attorneys' conference on July the 13th. And when's the
   omnibus date after that?
 3
             MR. TONER?: July twenty --
 4
 5
             UNIDENTIFIED MALE: July 27th, Your Honor.
 6
             THE COURT:
                          27? Okay.
 7
             UNIDENTIFIED MALE:
                                  Yes.
 8
             THE COURT: So then we'll set it for the omnibus on
   the 27th. And I would like the parties then, at that
10 conference, as you indicate, to identify issues. Probably you
11 can agree -- hopefully you can agree as to which are summary
  judgment material. And then you should -- so you should also
   start talking about who's going to file the summary judgment,
14 or if you're going to file cross -- how are you going to
15 | handle that? And a scheduling order, a proposed scheduling
16 order as to the dispositive motions.
17
             MR. TONER?: As well as what facts can we stipulate
18 to --
19
             THE COURT:
                        As well as --
20
             MR. TONER?: -- and just how much discovery work is
21
   required.
22
             THE COURT: You're way ahead of me.
23
             MR. TONER?: Thank you, Your Honor.
24
             THE COURT:
                        I agree. Thank you.
25
             MR. AMES: And, Your Honor, we're happy, and we
```

```
1 have been engaging in informal discovery and giving documents;
   and if they would -- whatever requests they'd have for
 3 \parallel additional documents, they could just do it informally,
   that'll be fine.
 4
 5
             MR. TONER?:
                           Thank you.
 6
             THE COURT:
                          All right.
 7
        (low-voiced discussion with Clerk)
 8
             THE COURT: I think there might be some unissued
 9
   summonses to the -- to your --
10
             MR. TONER?: I suppose there should be summonses
   because we're bringing in these Texas parties into the
11
   Superior adversary --
13
             THE COURT:
                          All right --
14
             MR. TONER?: And avoiding the argument about
15 failure to join indispensable parties (unclear)
16
             THE COURT:
                         All right, so we will issue those
17
   summonses.
             MR. AMES: Your Honor, would be possible to wait?
18
   We may ask that those be suspended pending -- there may not be
20
   a need for them. Perhaps until we get through with that, if
21
   we could have a stay of the issuance of summonses. Otherwise
   we're going to have (unclear)
23
             THE COURT:
                         You're willing to stay it to July and
24 see where you all are?
25
             MR. TONER?: Well, see where we are, but I -- I --
```

2 THE COURT: You're just --

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

17

21

MR. TONER?: -- I very much predict that the Texas folks will want to be part of these legal issues.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's -- we'll wait until July to make that determination. You all talk about that.

> MR. AMES: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. TONER?: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So we'll hold the summonses down.

MR. TONER?: Okay.

THE COURT: We do have summonses that have been filed but not issued, is that right? Or --

(Low-voiced discussion with Clerk).

THE COURT: Okay. That's good. All right. 15∥ Innovative Livestock Services, et al. vs. Eastern Livestock. 16 What'S the status?

MS. PONADER: Thank you, Your Honor. That was a 18 case that originally filed in the Kansas State Court, moved to 19 the Kansas Bankruptcy Court, and is now in transfer to the 20 Southern District of Indiana.

The plaintiffs in those matters have interpleaded funds. They are not claiming any right to those funds as a strict interpleader. I think other than the Trustee and $24\parallel$ possibly Fifth Third, and I think Superior, has a claim to 25 some of those funds. I think those are the only relevant

1 parties, and I do think that some of the claims in the 2 Superior adversary will have overlapping issues with respect 3 to the case that has just been transferred. THE COURT: So this interpleader action -- so the

> MS. PONADER: I believe that's correct.

5 only parties that remain that are -- have any claim to these

MR. BOWLES?: And Superior.

funds are Fifth Third, debtor, and Friona?

MS. PONADER: And Superior.

THE COURT: I mean -- I mean -- I meant Superior.

MS. PONADER: Yes.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

20

21

23

THE COURT: I meant Superior.

MS. PONADER: I believe that's correct, possibly 14 with one or two exceptions. It's sort of an odd case. 15 filed on behalf on two different plaintiffs, or there were two 16 pots of money. One of the plaintiffs specifically stated that Superior and other producers had a claim to certain funds. That's BMG Funds. The producers has been dismissed because 19 \parallel Superior claimed them, and those claims were assigned.

So with respect to the BMG Funds, yes, I believe Superior, the Trustee, and Fifth Third are the only ones with the claim to those funds.

The other one -- the Innovative Funds, the 24 interpleader plaintiff didn't know who the producers were, so 25 they didn't name any other parties. I do think there are two

4

5

8

9

11

14

15

17

20

21

23

 $1 \parallel$ other potential parties that we -- maybe Superior may be one 2 of them, but quite frankly -- and two other parties who we may $3 \parallel$ need to bring in to assert any interest they may have in those funds. THE COURT: All right, so you want to put this on $6\parallel$ the same time? Should we conference this on that same date and add it to the omnibus in July then? MS. PONADER: I think that makes sense. One thing I did want to -- did want to find out is if there's going to 10 | be any amended pleadings, or if we have an answer; again, with sort of the odd procedural history, if we can come to some agreement as to whether Superior is going to file an amended pleading, or if you have set a definitive deadline to answer--THE COURT: So who's the plaintiff in this? MS. PONADER: I don't -- it's BMG and Innovative 16 Livestock Services. They may not even be on the phone with this --THE COURT: I was going to say who's representing

18 19 the plaintiffs in this?

> MS. PONADER: Mark Rondeau.

MR. RONDEAU (via phone): Yes, I'm here, Your 22 Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I guess the first guestion 24∥ is do you -- do you agree with the Trustee's assessment of 25 where this stands?

1

7

8

9

10

13

16

18

20

21

25

```
MR. RONDEAU: I think that's accurate, Your Honor.
 2 Frankly we're not -- and haven't been from the outset --
 3\parallel absolutely sure about whose money it was. We just knew it
 4 wasn't ours, and -- and wanted the Court to set -- straighten
 5\parallel it out. So I think that's where we are. Frankly, writing the
   check, we felt like we've done all we need to do.
             THE COURT:
                        All right, so you want out. You don't
   want to participate.
             MR. RONDEAU: That -- that would be fine.
             THE COURT: Well, how -- how are we going to
11 determine whether anybody other than Superior, the Trustee, or
   the bank have any claims on these --
             MS. PONADER: I believe DSI has the information on
   who sold the cattle that were ultimately sold to Innovative;
15 and we have that list.
             THE COURT: Shouldn't someone sue them? Or somehow
17 join them?
             MS. PONADER: Yes, and I think that would be
19 probably our intent to do that; yes.
             THE COURT:
                        All right.
             MS. PONADER: So why don't you do that. Add
   whoever else you think is a party in interest; and then we'll
   -- we'll put this -- I mean, we'll put this on the similar
24 track as the other AP.
             THE COURT: Maybe an agreement to July 15th to
```

```
assert counterclaims, cross-claims, answers.
 1
 2
             MS. MOORE:
                         If I might, Your Honor, for Superior.
 3 \parallel Because it's such a small group, and because there appears to
 4 \parallel perhaps be some overlap, maybe we can just talk about this on
             We had hoped -- Mr. Toner and I have talked about
 6 trying to carve out any of the Superior claims as the other
   interpleaders, hold those in abeyance, let the other folks go
   forward with their claims, and try and do this as simply as
   possible, at least with respect to Superior; and this might be
10
   another way to --
11
             THE COURT:
                         All right.
12
             MS. MOORE:
                         -- skin that cat.
13
             THE COURT:
                        I'm fine with that. Why don't you all
   talk on the 13th -- what was it, 13th?
15
             SPEAKER: Yes.
16
             THE COURT: That's fine.
17
             MS. MOORE:
                        Thank you, Judge.
                         Very good. Does anyone have anything
18
             THE COURT:
   else, and anything to do with cows, this morning? Yes, Mr.
   LaTour.
20
21
             MR. LaTOUR: Your Honor, just a housekeeping
   matter. I had submitted a 2004 motion, and I wonder if I
   could get that order entered by the end of today.
24
             THE COURT:
                          I'll see that that's done.
25
             MR. DONNELLON: And we joined in that just late
```

```
1 yesterday.
2
        (Low-voiced conversation)
3
             THE COURT: I'll see that that's entered. Anything
4
   further? (No response) We're adjourned.
5
             ATTORNEYS: Thank you, Your Honor.
   (End at 11:34:20 a.m.)
 6
7
8
             I certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate
9
   transcript from the digitally sound recorded record of the
10 proceedings.
```

/s/ Glor ia C. Irwin

7/29/2011

Date

GLORIA C. IRWIN Certified Transcriber NJ AOC200 Federal CERT #122 **GCI TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES** 210 Bayberry Avenue Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234-5901 609-927-0299 1-800-471-0299 FAX 609-927-9768 e-mail irwingloria@comcast.net